

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,816	07/31/2000	Emeric Thibierge	000531	7339
23850 7	590 11/28/2001			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI,			EXAMINER	
1725 K STREE	& NAUGHTON, LLP ET, NW, SUITE 1000 N, DC 20006		CHIN, PETER	
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2001	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• .				ME			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/529,816	THIBIERGE ET AL	••			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter Chin	1731				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)[2	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{7}{2}$	1/30/01					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 8,11-13 and 15 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11-13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s	A			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/529,816

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 2. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the imprint", "the pick-up felt", " the upper felt" and "the wet press" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 3. Claims 11 –13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 is a claim depending from a process claim (claim 8), it is a substantial duplicate of the cloth limitations already recited in claim 8. It is not clear what is intended to be claimed.

4. Claims 8, 1-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (361,849) with or without Britt (2,890,540).

Taylor teaches impressing a fabric pattern on wet pulp sheet using a fabric attached to a belt passing through the nip of the wet press, (Figure 2). To the extent that the claims are understood, Figure 5 and 6 of Taylor obviously shows the the claimed fabric pattern. If a "damask" fabric pattern is intended, it would have been obvious since Britt teaches that it is a desirable fabric pattern for paper.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Britt (2,890,540).

Application/Control Number: 09/529,816

Art Unit: 1731

Irrespective of the method by which the paper was made, the final paper product is a paper with a fabric embossed pattern and as such is anticipated by Britt or at the least Britt obviously shows the claimed product.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (703) 308-2046. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

PETER CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER